

Associative-Commutative deducibility constraints

Sergiu Bursuc
LSV, CNRS
INRIA project SECSI
École Normale Supérieure de Cachan
`bursuc@lsv.ens-cachan.fr`

joint work with **Hubert Comon-Lundh** and **Stéphanie Delaune**

STACS Aachen, February 2007

Plan

1. **Motivation:** Security protocols
2. **The problem:** Diophantine systems
3. **Decision result**

Security protocols

- ▶ Concurrent programs aiming at some secure transaction
- ▶ Even when cryptographic primitives are idealized, there are many attacks
- ▶ **SPORE** – the protocol library

`//www.lsv.ens-cachan.fr/spore/`

Search for attacks

1. Choose a fixed number of copies of each process, with parameter values
2. Guess an interleaving of the actions of each process
3. Solve a deducibility constraint system (solution = attack)

Deducibility constraints

Parts of messages that cannot be analyzed by the agents are abstracted with variables.

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{l} T_0 \Vdash u_1 \\ T_0, v_1 \Vdash u_2 \\ \vdots \\ T_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \Vdash s \end{array}$$

Semantics: An equational theory E and a deducibility relation \vdash on terms modulo E .

σ is an attack if, for every i , $T_i\sigma \vdash u_{i+1}\sigma$

Decision procedures

Exclusive Or [CKRT, LICS'03], [CLS, LICS'03]

Modular exponentiation [CKRT, FST/TCS'03] [Shmat,
FOSSACS'04]

Homomorphisms and exclusive or [DLLT, ICALP'06]

...

Decision procedures

Exclusive Or [CKRT, LICS'03], [CLS, LICS'03]

Modular exponentiation [CKRT, FST/TCS'03] [Shmat,
FOSSACS'04]

Homomorphisms and exclusive or [DLLT, ICALP'06]

...

But does not solve our case studies !

Decision procedures

Exclusive Or [CKRT, LICS'03], [CLS, LICS'03]

Modular exponentiation [CKRT, FST/TCS'03] [Shmat,
FOSSACS'04]

Homomorphisms and exclusive or [DLLT, ICALP'06]

...

But does not solve our case studies !

[CLD, RTA'05]: reduction of many relevant theories to the pure associative-commutative case.

Associative-Commutative constraints

Terms : linear combinations of variables and constants

Deduction : the intruder can only add terms.

Example:

$$2a \Vdash X \qquad a + b \Vdash Y$$

Associative-Commutative constraints

Terms : linear combinations of variables and constants

Deduction : the intruder can only add terms.

Example:

$$\begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \qquad a + b \Vdash Y$$

Associative-Commutative constraints

Terms : linear combinations of variables and constants

Deduction : the intruder can only add terms.

Example:

$$\begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \quad a + b \Vdash Y$$

Solutions of the two left constraints are of the form:

$$X \mapsto 2\lambda a; \quad Y \mapsto (2\lambda\lambda'' + \lambda')a + \lambda''b$$

AC-constraints and Diophantine equations.

- ▶ AC-constraints \implies Diophantine equations.

AC-constraints and Diophantine equations.

- ▶ AC-constraints \implies Diophantine equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \right. \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_a = 2\lambda \\ Y_a = \lambda' + \lambda'' X_a \\ Y_b = \lambda'' \end{array} \right.$$

AC-constraints and Diophantine equations.

- ▶ AC-constraints \implies Diophantine equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \right. \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_a = 2\lambda \\ Y_a = \lambda' + \lambda'' X_a \\ Y_b = \lambda'' \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Diophantine equations \implies AC-constraints

AC-constraints and Diophantine equations.

- ▶ AC-constraints \implies Diophantine equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \right. \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_a = 2\lambda \\ Y_a = \lambda' + \lambda'' X_a \\ Y_b = \lambda'' \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Diophantine equations \implies AC-constraints
Code the sum and the product.

AC-constraints and Diophantine equations.

- ▶ AC-constraints \implies Diophantine equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \right. \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_a = 2\lambda \\ Y_a = \lambda' + \lambda'' X_a \\ Y_b = \lambda'' \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ Diophantine equations \implies AC-constraints
Code the sum and the product.
- ▶ Undecidability in general.

Some restrictions

We assume:

Monotonicity: left members of constraints are linearly ordered by inclusion.

Determinacy: each constraint introduces at most one variable, on its right.

In addition: each right member contains at most one variable.

Counter examples:

- ▶ $a \Vdash X \wedge b \Vdash Y$
- ▶ $a + b \Vdash Y \wedge a + b, a + X \vdash 2Y$
- ▶ $a + b \Vdash X + Y$
- ▶ $a \Vdash X \wedge a, a + b \Vdash X + Y$

Examples

- ▶ Every semi-linear set can be expressed as the solutions of a well-formed system



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \Vdash X \\ a, X + b \Vdash Y \end{array} \right.$$

has solutions $\{X \mapsto xa; Y \mapsto (xy + z)a + yb\}$. i.e. $\{(x, 0, xy + z, y) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is not semi-linear, and not trivially simple semi-polynomial (cf. Karianto, Krieg, Thomas, ICALP'06).

Decision result

Theorem: the existence of a solution to a simple AC-deducibility constraint system is in NEXPTIME.

Proof:

1. partition the variables w.r.t. an occurrence preorder
2. solutions of a constraint only using variables in the minimal class (minimal strongly connected component) form a semi-linear set
3. minimal solutions of an arbitrary simple system, when restricted to the variables of a minimal class are “not too far” from minimal solutions of the minimal class.

Other intruder capabilities

Intruder now has two rules:

$$\frac{x \quad y}{x + y}$$

$$\frac{x + y \quad y}{x}$$

Theorem: Deducibility constraints with such capabilities are solvable in NEXPTIME

Moreover, we do not need the restriction that right members contain at most one variable

Conclusions

- ▶ There is still a challenge on AC-deducibility constraints (without the additional restriction)
- ▶ Applicability has to be demonstrated
- ▶ Another research direction: combination techniques